AGENDA

- Cultural heritage work to date
- Expanded Cultural Heritage Screening Report (CHSR)
- Potential direct impacts to buildings
- Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER) terms of reference
- Next steps
- LACH role
Pre-planning for Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP)

**Fall 2017 / Winter 2018**
- Heritage workshop with LACH
- Draft Cultural Heritage Screening Report (CHSR) and preliminary findings presented to LACH for review
- LACH recommends all CHSR-identified properties be added to City’s Register

**Spring 2018**
- Council passes motion to add properties to Register
- Draft Environmental Project Report, including draft CHSR, available for review

**Summer 2018**
- Additional cultural heritage work done to expand CHSR
- Additional design work done in response to public comments and utility coordination. Potential impacts revised.
- Presentation to LACH on progress and highlights of heritage work to be completed post-TPAP

**Fall 2018 - TPAP paused**
- Presentation to LACH on plan for more detailed cultural heritage work during TPAP
EXPANDED CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING REPORT (CHSR)

DRAFT CHSR (APRIL 2018)

• Screened along BRT corridors for potential cultural heritage value/interest
• Provided a historical and policy context, existing conditions and mapping
• Made recommendations for properties requiring further heritage studies

EXPANDED CHSR (OCTOBER 2018)

• Included LACH-identified properties and identified properties that don’t require further study
• Identified properties added to the City’s Inventory of Heritage Resources this year
• Evaluated potential impacts and identified mitigation strategies
• Assessed changes to impacts due to evolving design in response to consultation
• Updated mapping and photo documentation
• Updated recommendations for properties requiring further heritage studies
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>MUNICIPAL ADDRESS</th>
<th>PART IV, V, OR LISTED</th>
<th>IMAGE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>IMPACT DETAILS</th>
<th>LEVEL OF IMPACT</th>
<th>MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHR-146</td>
<td>30 Wellington Rd</td>
<td>Listed</td>
<td><img src="30_Wellington_Rd.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Historical: Built circa 1960-1966 Architectural. 2 story Arts and Crafts influenced red brick bungalow. The property is located within a mixed-use residential, commercial, and institutional area.</td>
<td>Direct impacts to the building are anticipated including: 1. 50% of the frontage/raised/curbway and directly impacting building. Paving proposed to accommodate roadway widening, sidewalk, utility buffer. In detail design enhancements can be made, including alterations to the curb, utility buffer, median and/or sidewalk to avoid impacting building.</td>
<td>Medium-High</td>
<td>Direct impacts to the building can be avoided through design refinements to the utility buffer, sidewalk, curb and/or roadway widening. A CHER is recommended to be completed by a qualified heritage consultant immediately following TPAP to confirm CHV. Should the CHER confirm CHV, an H4A is recommended to evaluate the impacts, to fully consider design alternatives and to consider mitigation options including, but not limited to, retention, relocation, documentation, salvage, and/or commeroration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHR-147</td>
<td>80 Wellington Rd</td>
<td>Listed</td>
<td><img src="80_Wellington_Rd.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Historical: Built circa 1920-1935 Architectural. 1½ storey Arts and Crafts influenced red brick bungalow. The property is located within a mixed-use residential, commercial, and institutional area.</td>
<td>Direct impacts to the building are anticipated including: 1. 25% of the frontage/raised/curbway and directly impacting building. Paving proposed to accommodate roadway widening, sidewalk, utility buffer. Design alternatives have been considered and direct impacts cannot be avoided through design.</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Direct impacts to the building should be avoided through design refinements to the utility buffer, sidewalk, curb and/or roadway widening. As it has been determined that avoidance is not possible through design, a CHER is recommended to be completed by a qualified heritage consultant prior to the end of TPAP to confirm CHV. Should the CHER confirm CHV, an H4A is recommended to evaluate the impacts, to fully consider design alternatives and to consider mitigation options including, but not limited to, retention, relocation, documentation, salvage, and/or commeroration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHR-148</td>
<td>90 Wellington Rd</td>
<td>Listed</td>
<td><img src="90_Wellington_Rd.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Historical: Built circa 1940-1945 Architectural. 1½ storey post-war frame residence. The property is located within a mixed-use residential, commercial, and institutional area.</td>
<td>Direct impacts to the building are anticipated including: 1. 25% of the frontage/raised/curbway and directly impacting building. Paving proposed to accommodate roadway widening, sidewalk, utility buffer. In detail design enhancements can be made, including alterations to the curb, utility buffer, median and/or sidewalk to avoid impacting building.</td>
<td>Medium-High</td>
<td>Direct impacts to the building can be avoided through design refinements to the utility buffer, sidewalk, curb and/or roadway widening. A CHER is recommended to be completed by a qualified heritage consultant immediately following TPAP to confirm CHV. Should the CHER confirm CHV, an H4A is recommended to evaluate the impacts, to fully consider design alternatives and to consider mitigation options including, but not limited to, retention, relocation, documentation, salvage, and/or commeroration.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
67 POTENTIAL DIRECT IMPACTS

8 buildings/structures on Richmond St
- University Gates
- 2 north of Oxford St E
- 5 south of Oxford St E to Central Ave

5 buildings
- 2 on Oxford St W
- 1 on Wharncliffe Rd
- 2 on Riverside Dr

4 buildings/structures
- 2 on Dundas St
- London Psychiatric Hospital Lands
- Highbury Ave Bridge

50 buildings/structures on Wellington St / Rd
- Between Base Line Rd and South St
- Clark’s Bridge
67 properties to be evaluated during TPAP

67 flagged during review of updated CHSR

minus 11 potentially avoided through design

minus 5 with previous or ongoing heritage studies

minus 3 structures to be relocated or widened

= 48 properties
48 DIRECT IMPACTS

6 buildings on Richmond St
- 1 north of Oxford St E (individual CHER)
- 5 south of Oxford St E to Central Ave (grouped CHER)

1 building
- 1 on Wharncliffe Rd N (individual CHER)

41 buildings on or adjacent to Wellington St / Rd
- All properties are between Base Line Rd and south of Thames River
- 35 properties in a grouped CHER
- 6 individual CHERs
TERMS OF REFERENCE

INDIVIDUAL CHER
A **stand-alone** Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER) will be prepared by a qualified heritage consultant as required by the recommendations of the Cultural Heritage Screening Report (CHSR).

GROUPED CHER
A **grouped** Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER) will be prepared by a qualified heritage consultant as required by the recommendations of the Cultural Heritage Screening Report (CHSR) for contiguous properties which share a geography, style, age, use and typology.
NEXT STEPS

October 2018

- Expanded Cultural Heritage Screening Report submitted to LACH and Ministry for review
- Team completes individual and group Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports (CHERs) for 48 properties, presenting to LACH during regularly scheduled meetings, targeting end of March 2019 for completion
- City outlines commitments to future heritage work that will happen after TPAP and during detailed design, before construction. Commitments are documented in Environmental Project Report

End of March 2019

- This phase of heritage work anticipated to be complete

Post-Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP)

- Team progresses additional heritage study as identified in commitments to future work
- LACH to review future heritage work and advise Council on cultural heritage matters
LACH ROLE

FOR TPAP

• Review of updated Cultural Heritage Screening Report (CHSR)

• We request any insights LACH has to assist in determining heritage value and attributes of the 48 properties

• Provide team with historical mapping, photographs, and other resources if available

• Review cultural heritage reports as they are brought forward to LACH

AFTER TPAP

• Review the methodology for further CHER and Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA)

• Review the prioritization of the heritage work along the BRT corridors

• Continue to advise Council on cultural heritage resources
QUESTIONS?